REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-7 are pending in this application. In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected the claims as follows. Claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by *Vayanos et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 6,901,063) (hereinafter, *Vayanos*.)

In response to the Examiner's comments in the Office Action, Claims 1, 3, and 4 have been amended and Claim 2 has been cancelled without prejudice. In addition, Claims 5, 6, and 7 have been newly added. Accordingly, new matter has been introduced.

As to the Examiner's rejection of Claim 1 under §112, 2nd paragraph, the Examiner objects to several of the limitations mentioned in Claims 1 and 3. In light of the Examiner's comments in the Office Action, Applicant has amended Claims 1 and 3, as set indicated above, according to the Examiner's suggestions. Hence, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's §112, 2nd paragraph rejection of Claims 1 and 3 has now been overcome.

Regarding the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e), the Examiner asserts in the Office Action that *Vayanos* discloses a method for processing packet data units (PDUs) to which a transmission sequence number (TSN) is allocated wherein the recitation of "when *a sum* of a TSN of the PDU at an upper edge of the receiver window and a value of the set receiver window size *is larger* than a value of a total TSN size where the TSN of the PDU from the transmitter is outside the receiver window, *receiving* a corresponding PDU if a TSN of the corresponding PDU is within a predetermined range even though the TSN of the corresponding PDU is smaller than the TSN at the upper edge of the receiver window," as recited by Claim 1 of the application. In particular, the Examiner cites Figures 4A, 4B, and 6B of *Vayanos*, as well as Columns 6, 7, 23,

and 24 in the Specification thereof, to support the §102(e) rejection of Claim 1. Independent Claim 1 has been amended, as set forth above, so as to further distinguish the claimed invention from that which is taught in *Vayanos*.

Vayanos essentially teaches a technique for delivering data recovered by a Hybrid Automatic Retransmission reQuest (HARQ) entity in proper order to higher layers in a CDMA system. In particular, data packets are received from the HARQ entity by a re-ordering entity and missing packets among the received packets are detected. Furthermore, packets may be transmitted in a sequential order based on Transmission Sequence Numbers (TSNs) assigned to the packets and missing packets may be detected based on the TSNs of the received packets.

In Figure 6B, *Vayanos* teaches a "window" maintained by the receiver having a reordering entity and further suggests that data packets processed by the re-order entity are identified and referred to by their TSNs. However, in the cited lines of *Vayanos*, which reference Figure 6B, Applicant could not find any disclosure teaching the "storing" step as recited by newly amended Claim 1.

Moreover, *Vayanos* is silent as to the recitation of "when *a sum* of a TSN of a PDU at an upper edge of the receiver window and a value of the set receiver window range *is larger* than a value of a total TSN of PDUs to be received if a TSN of the received PDU is outside of the set receiver window range, *storing* the received PDU if the TSN of the received PDU is within a predetermined range even though the TSN of the received PDU *is smaller* than the TSN of the PDU at the upper edge of the receiver window in the reordering buffer," as recited by Claim 1 of the application. In fact, *Vayanos* merely discloses the method of the re-ordering entity of the receiver associating each received packet within the TSN number space with one of four possible states: Delivered, Received, Missing, and Expected.

Attorney Docket No: <u>678-1291 (P11217)</u>

Accordingly, Vayanos, standing alone, fails to disclose or even fairly suggest each and

every limitation of Claim 1 and, hence, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of Claim 1

under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) be withdrawn.

Moreover, without conceding the patentability pre se of the dependent claims, it is

respectfully submitted that dependent Claims 3 and 4 are believed to be in condition for

allowance for at least the above reasons. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the

rejections of dependent Claims 3 and 4 is respectfully requested.

Additionally, new Claims 5-7 contain similar recitations as those contained in amended

Claims 1, 3, and 4; accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that new Claims 5-7 are patentably

distinct for at least the same reasons as set forth above with respect to Claims 1, 3, and 4.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-7 are

believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone

conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the

Examiner may contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul JI Farrell

Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicant

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C.

333 Earle Ovington Blvd.

Uniondale, New York 11553

Tel:

(516) 228-3565

Fax:

(516) 228-8475

MJM/CEA/mk

-7-

PTO/SB/96 (09-06)

JUN 0 7 2007

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE sperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. PANEMARY

	MENT UNDER 37 CFR 3.73(b) Atty. Docket No. 678-1291(P11217)
Applicant/Patent Owner: Kang-Gyu LEE	
Application No./Patent No.: 10/748,766	Filed/Issue Date:
Entitled:	J
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	corporation
(Name of Assignee)	(Type of Assignee, e.g., corporation, partnership, university, government agency, etc.)
states that it is: 1. the assignee of the entire right, title, and interest.	est; or
2. an assignee of less than the entire right, title a (The extent (by percentage) of its ownership i	and interest interest is%)
in the patent application/patent identified above by v	virtue of either:
A An assignment from the inventor(s) of the pat in the United States Patent and Trademark Of thereof is attached. OR	tent application/patent identified above. The assignment was recorded fice at Reel <u>014860</u> , Frame <u>0612</u> , or for which a copy
	ent application/patent identified above, to the current assignee as follows:
	To: ited States Patent and Trademark Office at, or for which a copy thereof is attached.
	To:To:
3. From: The document was recorded in the Uni	To:
The document was recorded in the Uni Reel, Frame	ited States Patent and Trademark Office at, or for which a copy thereof is attached.
Additional documents in the chain of title a	re listed on a supplemental sheet.
was, or concurrently is being, submitted for recordat [NOTE: A separate copy (i.e., a true copy of the Division in accordance with 37 CFR Part 3, 302.08]	entary evidence of the chain of title from the original owner to the assignee tion pursuant to 37 CFR 3.11. e original assignment document(s)) must be submitted to Assignment, to record the assignment in the records of the USPTO. See MPEP
The undersigned (whose little is supplied to low) is a	uthorized to act on behalf of the assignee. June 4, 2007
Signature Paul J. Farrell, Registration No. 33,494	Date (516) 228-3565
Printed or Typed Nam Attorney for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd	
Title	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 3.73(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.